



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fi	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/665,748	09/18/2003		William T. Wilkinson	WIL-118US	6982
31344	7590	10/06/2005		EXAMINER	
RATNERP	RESTIA		CONLEY, FREDRICK C		
P.O. BOX 1596 WILMINGTON, DE 19899				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3673	
				DATE MAIL ED. 10/0/1200	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

He -									
700	Application No. Applicant(s)								
	10/665,748	WILKINSON,	WILKINSON, WILLIAM T.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
•	FREDRICK C. CONLE	Y 3673							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, ma will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to become	JNICATION. Bay a repty be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133	this communication.						
Status									
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 2 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal r		o the merits is						
Disposition of Claims									
 4) Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15,27-35,37-41 and 49-54 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14,16-26,36 and 42-48 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected or by objected or by objected or by objected in ab ction is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(ving(s) is objected to. See 3	37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received ority documents have beau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application Noeen received in this Nati							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/18/03.	Paper	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application	 n (PTO-152)						

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Species I, and Sub-Species A in the reply filed on July 11, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claims 15, 27-35, 37-41, 49-54 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species and Sub-Speicies, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on July 11, 2005.

Contrary to the Applicant's argument, claims 49-50 are drawn to non-elected Species III wherein one of the sections is generally oblong in shape and narrower on opposite ends and wider in a middle region as clearly shown in figure 3. Therefore, claims 49-50 are withdrawn from consideration.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the hand pump must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate

Page 3

changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what is mean by the Applicant's recitation "a nonremote individually adjustable meachanism" thus the claim is confusing and indefinite.

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 36 and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No 5,279,310 to Hsien.

Claim 36, Hsien discloses a system for adjustably positioning a head/neck body zone, hip/lower back body zone of a person, the system comprising;

- a first inflatable pillow (10, 17) for supporting the head/neck body zone;
- a second inflatable pillow (20,25), and

a third inflatable pillow (30,33), and each pillow comprising an inflatable bladder (17,25,33) positioned between an upper non-adjustable cushioned element and a lower non-adjustable cushioned element. With regards to the Applicant's recitation "for adjustably positioning an upper arm/shoulder body zone and for supporting a top arm in the upper arm body zone" it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex pade Masham, 2 USPQZd 1647 (1987).

Claim 43, Hsien discloses a system for positioning at least two body zones of a person relative to a base surface on which the person is resting, the system comprising

at least two discrete supports (10,20) each support having a different thickness. With regards to the Applicant's recitation non-adjustable and non-connected, the supports are inherently capable of not being adjusted or connected to one another once the user has achieved a desired firmness and keeps the supports spaced apart from one another.

Claim 44, wherein one of the at least two body zones comprises an upper body.

Claim 45, wherein the system comprises a first discrete support for supporting the upper body zone, a second discrete support for supporting the head/neck body zone, and a third discrete support 30. With regards to Applicant's recitation "for support the upper arm" it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior ad apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQZd 1647 (1987).

Claims 1-4, 12-14, 16-19, 25, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No 5,497,519 to Mintz.

Claims 1 and 12, Mintz discloses a system for adjustably positioning at least two body zones of a person relative to a base surface on which the person is resting, the system comprising at least two adjustable sections (col. 6 lines 56-60), each adjustable section having a non-remote, individually adjustable mechanism such as an inflatable bladder for adjusting the elevation of the section, wherein one body zone is a head/neck body zone, wherein no more than one adjustable section per body zone. With regards to

Art Unit: 3673

Applicant's recitation for support the upper arm it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex pade Masham, 2 USPQZd 1647 (1987).

Claim 2, wherein each adjustable section is pneumatic.

Claim 3, wherein each of the at least two adjustable sections comprises an adjustable member positioned between an upper non-adjustable cushioned element and a lower non-adjustable cushioned element.

Claim 4, wherein the adjustable member comprises an inflatable bladder.

Claim 13, wherein each of the at least two sections comprises an individual module.

Claim 14, wherein each of the at least two sections comprises an adjustable pillow or a section thereof.

Claim 16, comprising a plurality of pillows, one pillow for each body zone.

Claim 17, wherein the system provides customized spinal alignment for the Person.

Claim 18, wherein each section is adjustable to provide an elevation relative to the base surface sufficient to position the respective body zone in a physiologically neutral position.

Claim 19, wherein the physiologically neutral position is a position that optimally minimizes pressure points and musculoskeletal stress

Claim 25, wherein the system is adapted to support the at least two body zones of the person while the person is resting on his or her side.

Page 7

Claim 36, Mintz discloses a system for adjustably positioning a head/neck body zone, hip/lower back body zone of a person, the system comprising;

a first inflatable pillow for supporting the head/neck body zone;

a second inflatable pillow, and

a third inflatable pillow, and each pillow comprising an inflatable bladder positioned between an upper non-adjustable cushioned element and a lower non-adjustable cushioned element (col. 3 lines 39-55)(fig. 4). With regards to the Applicant's recitation "for adjustably positioning an upper arm/shoulder body zone and for supporting a top arm in the upper arm body zone" it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex pade Masham, 2 USPQZd 1647 (1987).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 12-14, 16-21, 24-26, 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,279,310 to Hsien in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,669,455 to Bellati.

Claims 1 and 12, Hsien discloses a system for adjustably positioning at least two body zones of a person relative to a base surface on which the person is resting, the system comprising at least two adjustable sections (10,20,30), each adjustable section having a non-remote, individually adjustable mechanism (17,25,33) for adjusting the elevation of the section, wherein one body zone is a back zone 20 or a head/neck body zone 10. Hsien fails to disclose no more than one adjustable section per body zone. Bellati discloses a support having chambers 30 and a channel 20 defining just one unitary inflatable structure. It would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a unitary singular adjustable section as taught by Bellati in order to provide an improved tractor which inflates to bear at spaced locations per body zone of Hsien. With regards to Applicant's recitation for support the upper arm it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex pade Masham, 2 USPQZd 1647 (1987).

Claim 2, wherein each adjustable section is pneumatic.

Claim 3, wherein each of the at least two adjustable sections (17,25,33) comprises an adjustable member positioned between an upper non-adjustable cushioned element and a lower non-adjustable cushioned element.

Art Unit: 3673

Claim 4, wherein the adjustable member comprises an inflatable bladder.

Claim 5, wherein the non-remote, individually adjustable mechanism comprises a valve mounted on an outer surface of the inflatable bladder (fig. 3).

Claims 6 and 20, Hsien discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for having a length of extension tubing. Bellati discloses a support having a length of extension tubing 4 attached to a valve 6, the extension tubing adapted to interface with a pump 5. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the ad at the time of the invention to employ a length of extension tubing and pump as taught by Ballati in order to supply air pressure to the inflatable bladders of Hsien.

Claim 13, wherein each of the at least two sections comprises an individual module.

Claim 14, wherein each of the at least two sections comprises an adjustable pillow or a section thereof.

Claim 16, comprising a plurality of pillows, one pillow for each body zone.

Claim 17, wherein the system provides customized spinal alignment for the Person.

Claim 18, wherein each section is adjustable to provide an elevation relative to the base surface sufficient to position the respective body zone in a physiologically neutral position.

Claim 19, wherein the physiologically neutral position is a position that optimally minimizes pressure points and musculoskeletal stress.

Page 10

Art Unit: 3673

Claims 21 and 24, wherein each of the at least two sections are attachable and detachable to one another via hook and loop fasteners (18,21).

Claim 25, with regards to the Applicant's recitation "the system is adapted to support the at least two body zones of the person while the person is resting on his or her side" it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations.

Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQZd 1647 (1987).

Claim 26, wherein the system is adapted to support the at least two body zones of the person while the person is resting on his or her back zone 20 and another of the at least two body zones is a head/neck body zone 10. With regards to Applicant's recitation for support the upper arm it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex pade Masham, 2 USPQZd 1647 (1987).

Claim 46, wherein one of the at least two adjustable sections has a maximum elevation that is greater than a maximum elevation of another of the adjustable sections (fig. 5).

Claim 47, wherein the system comprises three adjustable sections, an upper body section 20, a head/neck section 10 for positioning a head/neck body zone, and a lower body section 30 for positioning a thigh/upper leg/hip/lower back body zone, in which the maximum elevation of each adjustable section is different from the maximum elevation of each of the other two adjustable sections (fig. 5). With regards to Applicant's

recitation for support the upper arm it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex pade Masham, 2 USPQZd 1647 (1987).

Claim 48, wherein the head/neck section has a lowest maximum elevation of the three adjustable sections, the upper body section is capable of having a greater maximum elevation of the three adjustable sections, and the lower body section is capable of having an elevation intermediate the maximum elevations of the head/neck section and the upper body section.

Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,279,310 to Hsien in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,189, 168 to Graebe.

Claim 42, Hsien discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for the pillow case comprising moisture-wicking fabric. Graebe disclose a pillowcase 12, the pillowcase comprising a moisture-wicking fabric (col. 4 lines 39-41). It would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ a moisture wicking fabric as taught by Graebe in order to promote the maximum breathability, moisture removal, and comfort for the user of the support of Hsien.

Claims 7-11 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,279,310 to Hsien in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,669,455 to Bellati, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6, 189,168 to Graebe.

Art Unit: 3673

Claim 7, Hsien, as modified, discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except

for having an outer enclosure comprising a pocket and opening. Graebe discloses a

support having an outer enclosure 12 comprising a pocket having an

opening 24, the outer enclosure adapted to surround a upper non-adjustable cushioned

element 28, the lower non-adjustable cushioned element 28, and the inflatable bladder.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to employ an outer enclosure as taught by Graebe in order to provide a

maximum comfort for the user.

Claim 8, wherein the outer enclosure 12, the upper non-adjustable cushioned

element 28, and the lower non-adjustable cushioned element comprise an integral unit

(Graebe).

Claim 9, wherein the integral unit comprises a fabric comprising non-adjustable

cushioned material 28 bonded between the outer enclosure and an inner lining, the

fabric formed into a pocket to receive the inflatable bladder (Graebe).

Claim 10, further comprising a fastener 38 for closing the opening.

Claim 11, wherein fastener for closing the opening is a zipper.

Claims 22-23, Hsien, as modified, discloses all of the Aéplicant's claimed limitations

except for having an outer casing comprising a fabric. Graebe discloses a support

having at least one outer casing 12 for covering the system or a portion thereof and

providing a contact surface for contact with the person, the casing comprising a fabric

that is moisture-wicking, heat-normalizing, or a combination thereof (col. 4 lines 39-41).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the ad at the time of the

invention to employ an outer enclosure as taught by Graebe in order to provide a maximum comfort for the user.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/11/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regards to Applicant's recitation for support the upper arm it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex pade Masham, 2 USPQZd 1647 (1987). The Applicant relies on broad claim limitations that merely recite "the system comprising at least two adjustable sections" and fails to structurally differentiate the claimed invention from the two adjustable sections taught by Hsien. Since the adjustable sections of Hsien meet the broad structural limitations as recited at least one section is inherently capable of supporting the arms of a user when the support for the back is significantly wider than width the person.

With regards to claim 36, the Applicant's recitation "during rest on the person's side" is drawn to how the apparatus is being employed and ,as stated above, a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the system of Hsien satisfying the claimed structural limitations.

With regards to claim 43, the Applicant's recitation non-adjustable and nonconnected, the supports are inherently capable of not being adjusted or connected to

one another once the user has achieved a desired firmness and keeps the supports spaced apart from one another.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6-11, 20, 22-23, and 31-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FREDRICK C. CONLEY whose telephone number is 571-272-7040. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH.

Art Unit: 3673

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, HEATHER SHACKELFORD can be reached on 571-272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 15

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FC

HEATHER SHACKELFORD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600